1. 행정과 정치 관련 논쟁

- [1] Pfiffner, J. P. (1987). Political appointees and career executives: The democracy-bureaucracy nexus in the third century. Public administration review, 57-65.
- [2] Perry, J. L., & Rainey, H. G. (1988). The public-private distinction in organization theory: A critique and research strategy. Academy of management review, 13(2), 182-201.
- [3] Wilson (1887), The Study of Administration, Political Science Quarterly
- [4] Rosenbloom, (2008) The Politics-Administration Dichotomy in the U.S. Historical Context

2. 왈도와 사이먼 논쟁

- [5] Simon, H. (1946). The proverbs of administration. Public Administration Review, 6, 53-67.
- [6] Simon, H., Drucker, P., & Waldo, D. (1952). "Development of theory of democratic administration": Replies and comments. American Political Science Review, 46, 494-503

3. 행정, 신행정, 신공공관리, 거버넌스, 신거버넌스 관련 이론적 진화와 논쟁

- [7] Henry, N. (1975). Paradigms of public administration. Public Administration Review, 35(4), 378-386.
- [8] Dahl, R. A. (1947). The science of public administration: Three problems. Public administration review, 7(1), 1-11.
- [9] Robert D. Behn. "The Big Questions of Public Management." Public Administration Review 55 (July/August 1995): 313-24.
- [10] John J. Kirlin. "The Big Questions of Public Administration in a Democracy." Public Administration Review 56 (1996): 416-423.

4. 행정학 분야 제도주의 관련 이론

- [11] Ferris, J. M., & Tang, S.-Y. (1993). The new institutionalism and public administration: An overview. Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory: J-PART, 3(1), 4–10.
- [12] Ostrom, E., Schroeder, L., & Wynne, S. (1993). Analyzing the performance of alternative institutional arrangements for sustaining rural infrastructure in developing countries. Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory: J- PART, 3(1), 11–45.
- [13] Hay, C. (2004). Theory, stylized heuristic or self-fulfilling prophecy? The status of rational choice theory in public administration. Public Administration, 82(1), 39-62.
- [14] Meyer, J. W., & Rowan, B. (1977). Institutionalized organizations: Formal structure as myth and ceremony. American journal of sociology, 83(2), 340-363.
- [15] DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The iron cage revisited: Institutional isomorphism and collective rationality in organizational fields. American sociological review, 147-160.

c. 국내 문헌

[16] 하연섭. (2002). 비평논문: 신제도주의의 최근 경향: 이론적 자기 혁신과 수렴. 한국행 정학보, 36(4), 339-360.

[17] 하연섭. (2006). 신제도주의의 이론적 진화와 정책연구. 행정논총, 44(2): 217-246.

5. 관료제, 관료통제와 책임성, 행정윤리 관련 논쟁

- [18] Friedrich, C. J. (1940). Public policy and the nature of administrative responsibility. Public, 3-24.
- [19] Finer, H. (1941). Administrative Responsibility in Democratic Government. Public Administration Review, 1(4), 335-350. doi:10.2307/972907
- [20] Romzek, B. S., & Dubnick, M. J. (1987). Accountability in the public sector: Lessons from the Challenger tragedy. Public administration review, 227-238.
- [21] Golembiewski, R. T. (1977). A critique of "democratic administration" and its supporting ideation. American Political Science Review, 71(4), 1488-1507.
- [22] Ostrom, V. (1977). Some Problems in Doing Political Theory: A Response to Golembiewski's "Critique". American Political Science Review, 71(4), 1508-1525.

6. 성과관리 관련 쟁점과 이론

- [23] Brewer, G. A., & Selden, S. C. (2000). Why elephants gallop: Assessing and predicting organizational performance in federal agencies. Journal of public administration research and theory, 10(4), 685-712.
- [24] Berman, E., & Wang, X. (2000). Performance measurement in US counties: Capacity for reform. Public Administration Review, 60(5), 409-420.
- [25] Pollitt, C. (2006). Performance management in practice: A comparative study of executive agencies. Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory, 16(1), 25-44.

7. 조직행태 관련 쟁점과 이론

- [26] Van Wart, M. (2003). Public-sector leadership theory: An assessment. Public administration review, 214-228.
- [27] Wright, B. E. (2001). Public-sector work motivation: A review of the current literature and a revised conceptual model. Journal of public administration research and theory, 11(4), 559-586.
- [28] Latham, G. P., & Pinder, C. C. (2005). Work motivation theory and research at the dawn of the twenty-first century. Annu. Rev. Psychol., 56, 485-516.

8. 거버넌스 관련 논쟁과 이론

- [29] Agranoff, R., & McGuire, M. (2001). Big questions in public network management research. Journal of public administration research and theory, 11(3), 295-326.
- [30] Berry, F. S., Brower, R. S., Choi, S. O., Goa, W. X., Jang, H., Kwon, M., & Word, J. (2004). Three traditions of network research: What the public management research agenda can learn from other research communities. Public administration review, 64(5), 539-552.
- [31] Meier, K. J., & O'Toole Jr, L. J. (2007). Modeling public management: Empirical analysis of the management–performance nexus. Public Management Review, 9(4), 503-527.
- [32] Michael McGuire. "Collaborative Public Management: Assessing What We

Know and How We Know It." Public Administration Review. 2006. Supplement to Volume to 66: 33-43.

- [33] Laurence E. Lynn, Jr. Carolyn J. Heinrich, and Carolyn J. Hill. 2000. "Studying Governance and Public Management: Challenges and Prospects." J-PART 10(2): 233-261.
- [34] Nancy Roberts. "Public Deliberation in an Age of Direct Citizen Participation." 2004. ARPA. 34(4): 315-353.

9. 행정학의 토착화

- [35] 안병영. (2005). 기획특집: 한국행정학의 한국화; 행정학의< 토착화>,< 한국화>, 그리고< 세계화. 한국행정포럼, 111, 6-10.
- [36] 박흥식. (2005). 기획특집: 한국행정학의 한국화; 한국행정학 토착화의 실체와 의미. 한국행정포럼, 111, 13-16.
- [37] 이종범. (2005). 기획특집: 한국행정학의 한국화; 한국행정학 연구의 한국화: 이론의 세계화. 한국행정포럼, 112, 11-14.
- [38] 조석준. (2005). 기획특집: 한국행정학의 한국화; 행정학 연구의 한국화. 한국행정포 럼, 112, 8-10.
- [39] 정무권. (2005). 기획특집: 한국행정학의 한국화; 한국 행정학의 정체성 찾기와 한국화 전략. 한국행정포럼, 111, 17-22.
- [40] 김종술. (2005). 기획특집: 한국행정학의 한국화; 행정학 연구의 한국화: Missing Link 를 찾아서. 한국행정포럼, 112, 15-17.
- [41] 박병련. (2005). 기획특집: 한국행정학의 한국화; 행정학 연구의 한국화: 행정학 연구의 한국화에 관한 단상. 한국행정포럼, 112, 17-19.
- [42] 박종민. (2012). 행정학: 미국행정학인가 한국행정학인가. 정부학연구 18(2): 131-147.